

IV-3 Independent Assurance Report (website version)



Independent Assurance Report

To the President and CEO of East Japan Railway Company

We were engaged by East Japan Railway Company (the "Company") to undertake a limited assurance engagement of the environmental performance indicators and environmental accounting indicators marked with ☆ for the period from April 1, 2015 to March 31, 2016 (the "Indicators") included in its CSR Report 2016 website version (the "Report") for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, the Company's self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative's G4 Sustainability Reporting Guidelines (the "G4 Guidelines") at a core level, and the completeness of material environmental information in the Report.

The Company's Responsibility

The Company is responsible for the preparation of the Indicators in accordance with its own reporting criteria (the "Company's reporting criteria"), as described in the Report, which are derived, among others, from the G4 Guidelines and Environmental Reporting Guidelines of Japan's Ministry of the Environment, for self-declaring that the Report is prepared in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the G4 Guidelines, and for including the material environmental information defined in the 'Environmental Reporting Assurance and Registration Criteria' of the Japanese Association of Assurance Organizations for Sustainability Information ("J-SUS") in the Report.

Our Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Indicators based on the procedures we have performed. We conducted our engagement in accordance with 'International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information', 'ISAE 3410, Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements', issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, and the 'Practical Guidelines for the Assurance of Sustainability Information' of J-SUS. The limited assurance engagement consisted of making inquiries, primarily of persons responsible for the preparation of information presented in the Report, and applying analytical and other procedures, and the procedures performed vary in nature from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. The level of assurance provided is thus not as high as that provided by a reasonable assurance engagement. Our assurance procedures included:

- Interviewing with the Company's responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for the preparation of the Report and reviewing the Company's reporting criteria.
- Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators.
- Performing analytical reviews of the Indicators.
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company's reporting criteria, and also recalculating the Indicators.
- Visiting to one of the Company's domestic business sites selected on the basis of a risk analysis.
- Evaluating the Company's self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the G4 Guidelines at a core level against the criteria stipulated in the G4 Guidelines.
- Assessing whether or not all the material environmental information defined by J-SUS is included in the Report.
- Evaluating the overall statement in which the Indicators are expressed.

Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Indicators in the Report are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company's reporting criteria as described in the Report; the Company's self-declaration that the Report is prepared in accordance with the G4 Guidelines at a core level does not conform to the criteria stipulated in the G4 Guidelines; and all the material environmental information defined by J-SUS is not included in the Report.

Our Independence and Quality Control

We have complied with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior. In accordance with International Standard on Quality Control 1, we maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.
Tokyo, Japan
October 21, 2016